

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/701,527</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) BALIMBERGER, DANIEL</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner Abdou Karim Seye</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2194</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/701,527	Applicant(s) BALIMBERGER, DANIEL	Examiner Abdou Karim Seye	Art Unit 2194
Application No. 10/701,527	Applicant(s) BALIMBERGER, DANIEL				
Examiner Abdou Karim Seye	Art Unit 2194				

All Participants:

(1) Abdou Karim Seye

(2) Daniel J. Glitto

Date of Interview: 29 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 8 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed with applicant amendment of the claims. Applicant then cancelled original claim 6, 12-13; and agreed to amend the claims to put the case in condition for allowance in accordance to examiner amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/VAN H NGUYEN/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)